

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/992,603		Applicant(s) GOLDICK, JONATHAN S.	
	Examiner Lilian Vo		Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) Lilian Vo.

(2) Tim Scull.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
19 and 26.

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's attorney authorized an examiner's amendment to correct 112 issues in claims 19 and 26.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)